Thank you,

Blake Nicholson

419 West 9th Street Crescent City, CA, 95531 From: geodevos@yahoo.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: 1/26/03 11:1OAM

Subject: I oppose media concentration!

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy:

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC **20554**

In the Matter of 2002 Biennial Regulatory Review - Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MM Docket No. 02-277, (rel. Sept. 23, 2002)

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, the Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. In promoting its supposed goals of fair competition, diversity and local voice in today's media market, I strongly believe that the FCC should retain all of the current media ownership rules now in question. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of the huge, dominant companies and players in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate, or even attempt to demonstrate, the negative effects that media deregulation and consolidation have had on the diversity of our media. While there may indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented has been severely limited.

The right to conduct an informed debate and discussion of current events is part of the founding philosophy of our nation. Our forefathers believed that democracy was renewed in the marketplace of diverse ideas. If the FCC allows our media outlets to merge and consolidate further, our ability to have an open, informed discussion from a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I urge the FCC to preserve the public interest by keeping the media ownership rules in question intact.

Also, I support the FCC's plan to hold a public hearing on this matter in Richmond, VA in February of 2003. I strongly encourage the Commission to hold similar hearings in all parts of the country and solicit the widest possible participation from the public. The rarified, lawyerly atmosphere of an FCC rulemaking is not an appropriate decision-making venue when questions as profound as the freedom of our media are at stake. I encourage the Commissioners to come out and meet some of the people who do not have a financial interest in this issue, but a social interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is important that the Commission take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Thank you,

George DeVos

po bx 3063 apple valley,, CA, 92307 From: Ishaf@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: 1/26/032:28PM

Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly **less** original programming for children Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Linda Shafer 20451 Rancho La Floresta Covina, California 91724

cc:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative David Dreier From: nance_m@hotmail.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: 1/26/034:36PM

Subject: Consider **The** Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulesmaking on broadcast ownership rules.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Nance Massarella P.O. Box 256 Marion, Ohio 43301

CC:

Senator Mike DeWine Representative Michael Oxley Senator George Voinovich From: brow0902@tc.umn.edu
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: 1/26/0310:24PM

Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy.

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children. Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Kristin Brown University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455-0427

CC:

Representative Martin Sabo Senator Mark Dayton Senator Norm Coleman From: eleanorworth@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: 1/26/0311:14PM

Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen **Q**. Abernathy.

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly **less** original programming for children. Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Worth 308 West 103rd Street, Apt. 3G New York, New York 10025-4462

cc:

Senator Charles Schumer Representative Charles Rangel Senator Hillary Clinton From: Kesaya Noda To: Kathleen Abernathy

Sat, Jan 25,2003 10:10 AM Date: FCC protect media independence Subject:

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Kesaya E. Noda 8 Reservoir Road, #106 Hanover, NH 03755

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com

From: kevinpwill@juno.com

To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 8:31 PM Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children. Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

I also am extremely concerned about how deregulation will affect what information or misinformation will be released to the american people. Our democracy depends upon educated voters. Deregulation will allow powerful interests to select the information that best suits themselves. That is not in the country's best interest.

Do not deregulate,

Sincerely,

Kevin Will 1340 N. McCadden Place#1 Los Angeles, California 90028

cc:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative Diane Watson From: kevinpwill@juno.com
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jan 27.2003 8:31 PM
Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children. Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

I also am extremely concerned about how deregulation will affect what information or misinformation will be released to the american people. Our democracy depends upon educated voters. Deregulation will allow powerful interests to select the information that best suits themselves. That is not in the country's best interest.

Do not deregulate.

Sincerely,

Kevin Will 1340 N. McCadden Place#1 Los Angeles, California 90028

cc:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative Diane Watson From:

Lisa Hansen

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Jan 25,2003 10:13 AM

Subject:

FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Hansen 205744th Street Rock Island. IL 61201

Get Your Private, Free Email at http://www.hotmail.com

From:

Lucas Larson

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Mon, Jan 27,2003 7:55 AM

Subject:

Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Lucas Larson

From:

Mary Boening

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Mon, Jan 27.2003 7:55 AM

Subject:

FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Mary E. Boening

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.comaddress at http://mail.yahoo.com

From: Megan Meter
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 7:01 AM **Subject:** FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Megan L. Van Meter 115 Troy Ave. Lubbock, TX 79416-3117 From: Michael Bawaya
To: Mike Powell

Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 2:27 PM

Subject: Media Ownership

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am very concerned about the concentration of media ownership in this country. The domination of Clear Channel in the radio market is but one example. As corporations take over print and broadcast media the result has been less diversity in points of view, less competition and a cowardly media that fears offending potential and existing advertisers. In order for our democracy to flourish, we need a courageous, diverse and independent media dedicated to presenting the news, and not to making the greatest possible profit. I encourage you to do everything in your power to prevent a handful of corporations from dominating the media in the U.S.

Michael Bawaya

From: Michael Feldman
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Sun, Jan 26,2003 11:33 PM Subject: FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Michael Feldman 205 Albany Avenue Apt 6C Brooklyn, **NY** 11213 From: MR760@aol.com
To: Mike Powell

Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 9:23 AM Subject: Consolidating media ownership

Sir:

A free and diverse media is America's guarantor or freedom and cultural stimulation. Your leadership is required in this direction, not in the direction of consolidating ownership in the sector to enhance corporate profits and control of news

Miriam M. Reik, PhD New York, NY From: Nadia Rodnova
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 6:59 AM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracywill be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Nadia Rodnova 115 Apart.,, 11 Bldg., Snaiperskaya Street 111395 Moscow. Russia

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com

From: Paul Davis
To: Mike Powell

Date: Tue, Jan 28,2003 12:16 AM

Subject: Media diversity

M. I treasure the diversity currently available to me over the radio waves and other media sources, this diversity lies at the true heart of our democracy, please work to make sure this diversity is maintained. Anything that concentrates the media into the hands of the few should be fought against.

Sincerely, Paul Davis From: Peggie Feddesen
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Sat, Jan 25,2003 10:10 AM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Peggie Feddesen

From: Richard Paul Baker
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jan 27,2003 1:53 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Richard Paul Baker (rbaker@oznet.ksu.edu) writes:

Mr. Adelstein...

I have e-mailed the Federal Communications Commission Chairman on several occasions, but have never received a response, **so** I am at a **loss** whether or not these are even getting through. But, I am trying again. I am very concerned about the direction the FCC has been taking and is taking concerning corporate ownership. Currently, a small minority of corporations own and control the vast majority of information outlets...from newspapers to television to radio to satellites. If I understandyour current proposals, that would only get worse. In solidifying the ownership, and thus the control, you are destroying the integrity of news and information operations nationwide. And in doing so you are allowing a small minority to control and **twist** news to suit their own whims, with no regard to the public interest or the public?s needs. I have been in radio for 35 years, and have slowly watched this trend since deregulation began under President Ronald Reagan. Local news on radi! o is almost non-existent in many

markets. The idea of doing anything the serve the public is almost non-existent in many markets. Anytime a single entity is allowed to own multiple news outlets in a market, there is no need for them to compete against themselves. Consequently, in a market like Topeka, Kansas, where there are something like eleven stations, there are only one...maybe two news operations. That means the public not only has to rely, but also be able to trust them to do both a good job and not color the news to suit their own purposes. A recent run in between Kansas State University, the sharetime station it used to own, KKSU and Morris communications is a good example. The particulars don?t matter here, because the matter is over. What does matter is that Morris used its newspaper, The Capitol Journal, to color the story to its own ends. It never outright lied, it just made slight distortions of the truth. Consequently, the story was never told correctly in Topeka. In fact, because of!

multiple ownership, the stl

ory was never really told in Manhattan. I realize this is a specific case, but I don?t think it is unusual. I started in radio under the guidance of men, who while their chaffed under the rules and regulations of the FCC at times, nevertheless, felt a need to serve the public. Unfortunately that no longer seems to be the case. Radio today is simply a money-making tool with no regard to the needs of the public...to service! I implore you to re-think your policies...to make changes and to take the control from the huge corporations and give it back to the people of the United States. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at any time or e-mail me at rbaker@ozne.ksu.edu. Sincerely, Richard Baker

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1 Remote host: 129.130.56.88

Remote IP address: 129.130.56.88

From: Roy Shuyler

Kathleen Abernathy To:

Date: Sat, Jan 25,2003 10:10 AM

FCC don't allow media monopolies Subject:

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Roy Shuyler

Get Your Private, Free Email at http://www.hotmail.com

From: sandersc@nbps.org
To: Michael Copps

Date: Tue, Jan 28,2003 8:11 AM Subject: Consider The Needs Of Children!

FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps,

I urge the FCC to consider the distinct needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in the development of children.

The relaxation of media ownership rules will result in significantly less original programming for children. Relaxation also will reduce competition, potentially stifling innovation and increasing commercialism in children's programming.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

colleen sanders 7600 Lyons ROad Coconut Creek, Florida 33066

CC:

Senator Bob Graham Representative Clay Shaw Senator Bill Nelson From: Sauvag77@aol.com

To: Mike Powell

Date: Wed, Jan 22,2003 9:38 PM

Subject: Dear Mr. Powell

Dear Mr. Powell:

I am writing to tell you of my opposition to the proposed changes by your agency to the current Media Ownership Rules.

Further concentration of media ownership does not serve our democratic society based upon democratic principles, but instead undermines it. Following World War II, our government placed restrictions upon news media outlet ownership because of how totalitarian regimes used controlled media concentrated in the hands of a few corporations and government agencies to control their people and move the world towards war. The proposed changes to the current Media Ownership Rules completely undermines this principle that so many Americans have fought to defend from our country's birth to the present.

Furthermore, the series of reports released by the FCC about the current media marketplace are focused almost entirely on the economic impact of relaxing the ownership rules. They ignore the public's interest in a diverse and independent press. You have also scheduled only one public hearing regarding this issue. The FCC has barely publicized the proposed changes, and combined with a very short public comment period I can only surmise that you hope to sneak these changes past the American people. I certainly didn't find out about them as a result of anything that was done by your agency.

You should be ashamed that an agency under your leadership is not using what is in the best interests of the American public as it's guiding principle, but instead is thinking of what is most profitable for a few huge corporations who only care about the bottom line, not about what is good for democracy.

Sincerely yours,

Michael Sauvageau

--

_